

The circular SiZer, inferred persistence of shape parameters and application to stem cell stress fibre structures

Stephan F. Huckemann^{*†}, Kwang-Rae Kim^{*‡}, Axel Munk^{*§}, Florian Rehfeldt[¶],
Max Sommerfeld^{†*}, Joachim Weickert^{||}, Carina Wollnik[¶]

Abstract

We generalize the SiZer of Chaudhuri and Marron (1999, 2000) for the detection of shape parameters of densities on the real line to the case of circular data. It turns out that only the wrapped Gaussian kernel gives a symmetric, strongly Lipschitz semi-group satisfying “circular” causality, i.e. not introducing possibly artificial modes with increasing levels of smoothing. Some notable differences between euclidean and circular scale space theory are highlighted. Based on this we provide for an asymptotic theory to infer on persistence of shape features. The resulting circular mode persistence diagram is applied to the analysis of early mechanically induced differentiation in adult human stem cells from their actin-myosin filament structure. In consequence the circular SiZer based on the wrapped Gaussian kernel (WiZer) allows to discriminate at a controlled error level between three different micro-environments impacting early stem cell differentiation.

Keywords: Mode hunting, circular data, persistence inference, multiscale process, wrapped Gaussian kernel estimator, circular scale spaces, variation diminishing, stem cell differentiation

AMS 2000 Subject Classification: Primary 62G07, 62H11
Secondary 62H35

1 Introduction

Mode (maxima and minima) and bump (maxima of the derivative) hunting of a density has a long history in statistical research and has been tackled from various perspectives. Good and Gaskins (1980) argued that actually, this would be a problem of significance testing rather than estimation, and, to some extent, we agree. We stress, however, that for practical purposes, it seems attractive to accompany any testing decision on the number of modes, say, with an estimator and corresponding visualization tools which are in agreement as much as possible with such a test decision. Indeed, many tests which have been developed (mainly in the context of detecting modes of a density) implicitly or explicitly offer this additional information or parts of it. Most of them are based on smoothing techniques with variable bandwidth which provides a reconstruction of modes and other shape features at a range of scales. Prominent methods include the critical bandwidth test of Silverman (1981) (see also Ahmed and Walther (2012) for a generalization to multivariate data), the dip test by Hartigan and Hartigan (1985), the excess mass approach by Müller and Sawitzki (1991), see also Polonik (1995), the test of Cheng and Hall (1999), the SiZer (Significat ZERo crossings of the derivatives) by Chaudhuri and Marron (1999, 2000), or the mode tree of Minnotte and Scott (1993), see also Minnotte (1997), Ooi (2002) and Klemelä (2006) for

^{*}Felix Bernstein Institute for Mathematical Statistics in the Biosciences, Univ. of Göttingen

[†]Statistical and Applied Mathematical Sciences Institute, Duke Univ.

[‡]School of Mathematical Sciences, Univ. of Nottingham

[§]Max Planck Institute for Biophysical Chemistry, Göttingen

[¶]3rd Institute of Physics, Univ. of Göttingen

^{||}Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science, Saarland Univ.

extensions and related ideas. More recently, more sophisticated multiscale methods which do not rely on variable bandwidth kernel estimators have been developed for this purpose as well, e.g. (Dümbgen et al., 2001; Davies and Kovac, 2004; Dümbgen and Walther, 2008; Schmidt-Hieber et al., 2013).

In this paper we are concerned with circular data for which rigorous inferential methods on the number and location of modes have not been established yet, to the best of our knowledge. Recently, Oliveira et al. (2013) suggested a circular version of the SiZer, and argued that this is of particular use for their problem at hand, the analysis of Atlantic wind speeds and directions. However, without providing a circular scale space theory or methods assessing the statistical significance of empirically found modes. Also in the present application of this paper, there are both biological and practical reasons that let us also favor the relatively simple circular SiZer’s methodology although we are aware of some potential drawbacks, e.g. a loss in power and asymptotic accuracy for small scales. In the below study of early differentiation of human mesenchymal stem cells, the structure characteristic for a specific cell type appears to be of the relative size captured by the first few largest modes while modes on much smaller scales most likely feature individual cell effects, not of immediate interest. The circular SiZer will allow to investigate the coarser mode structure and relative importance of modes in terms of bandwidth, which renders it a relatively simple tool for visualization of dynamics of modes through circular scales.

Causality. For data on the real line it has been shown by Chaudhuri and Marron (2000) that the SiZer controls the estimated modes at a large range of scales (represented by a bandwidth h) as long as these are above a smallest scale $h_0 > 0$, say. Crucial for a valid interpretation of these modes is “causality” which prevents the estimator from creating artifacts with increased smoothing. More specifically, causality of a family of kernels $\{L_h : h > 0\}$ is the requirement that for any function f ,

$$h \mapsto \#\text{Modes}(L_h * f) \text{ is decreasing.} \quad (1)$$

On the real line it is well known that the Gaussian kernel yields the only causal family under suitable assumptions (Lindeberg, 1994). Nevertheless, violation of causality will usually only matter for relatively small “critical” scales (Hall et al., 2004), and other (compactly supported) kernels may be used without too much concern as long as the true number of modes is small. However, for the SiZer it appears to be difficult to decide for given data whether and when such a critical scale is achieved and hence causality violation may be a concern.

The above quoted circular SiZer method of Oliveira et al. (2013) is a numerical extension of the SiZer methodology from the line to the circle based on the von-Mises kernel $\propto e^{\kappa \cos(\cdot - \mu)}$ with variable concentration parameter κ playing the role of the (inverse) bandwidth. The von Mises density is often considered as the natural counterpart of the Gaussian on the circle, e.g. maximizing entropy with given mean (Mardia and Jupp, 2000, Sec. 3.5.4). For circular nonparametric smoothing this kernel has also been used, e.g. in (Fisher and Marron, 2001; Taylor, 2008). However, Lemma 1 of Munk (1999) states that the von Mises kernel is variation diminishing of order 2 if and only if $0 \leq \kappa \leq 1/2$. From our Theorem 2.6 it follows now that a convolution with a von Mises distribution with concentration parameter $\kappa > 1/2$ violates circular causality (1). Figure 1 shows such an example where the convolution with a von Mises kernel increases the number of modes (maxima) from two to three. As a consequence, the von Mises kernel seems questionable in the context of the SiZer since a lower bound for the number of modes of the smoothed density does not imply a lower bound for those of the true underlying density. Therefore the question remains whether a circular causal kernel exists admitting a circular scale space approach.

Circular scale spaces – the uniqueness of the wrapped Gaussian. In this paper we give an affirmative answer to this question. Let

$$K_h(e^{it}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{h}} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \phi\left(\frac{t + 2\pi k}{\sqrt{h}}\right) \quad (2)$$

be the wrapped Gaussian kernel (on the circle) with bandwidth $h > 0$ where ϕ denotes the standard normal density. We will show that the wrapped Gaussian is the only circular causal kernel. Therefore, from this perspective it can be viewed as the most natural analog to the normal density on the real line. This result requires some preparation. We will

- 1) propose circular scale space axiomatics and discuss its relationships to circular variation and mode reducing properties,
- 2) show that under reasonable assumptions the wrapped Gaussian kernel gives the one and only semi-group guaranteeing causality.

This will then allow us to

- 3) assess asymptotically the statistical significance of shape features obtained from the WiZer,
- 4) and to infer persistence over smoothing scales of shape features.




Figure 1: *The von Mises kernel is not causal (mode reducing) on the circle; displaying on the right the tri-modal circular density (its non-uniform part in log-scale) of a convolution with a von Mises kernel ($\kappa = 6$) of the bi-modal circular distribution displayed on the left (its density is the combination of two spikes and a cleft with a uniform distribution).*

The analogs of 1) and 2) are well investigated in the linear case cf. (Lindeberg, 1994; Weickert et al., 1999). This result also complements numerous linear scale-space axiomatics for images that have attempted to establish uniqueness of the Gaussian kernel; see e.g. Weickert et al. (1999) for an overview. However, it should be noted that a justification of Gaussian smoothing via a variation diminishing axiom is only possible for 1-D signals, cf. (Babaud et al., 1986). It is well-known that for 2-D images, Gaussian convolution can create new extrema; see (Lindeberg, 1994). To avoid this, one has to consider scale-spaces based on nonlinear partial differential equations such as mean curvature motion or the affine morphological scale-space. For more details we refer to Alvarez et al. (1993). In contrast to the euclidean case, circular scale spaces have hardly been considered so far. Notable exceptions are a periodic scale-space filtering by Wada et al. (1991) and a feature extraction study by Briggs et al. (2004). However, there is no axiomatic foundation

of circular scale-spaces in terms of a variation diminishing property. We will show in the following that on the circle the situation is indeed peculiar and e.g. causality is fully equivalent to the circular diminishing property. In summary, as a byproduct of this paper, the circular case fills a gap of classical scale space axiomatics.

Statistical guarantees. Concerning 3) above we find for the SiZer based on wrapped Gaussians, that the probability of overestimating the true number of modes on *any* scale can be bounded by some small number α , say, while the probability of underestimating the true number goes to zero for any fixed bandwidth as the sample size goes to infinity. Causality as in (1) ensures that, if we find significant evidence for, say, k circular modes in the smoothed density, we automatically have significant evidence that there are also at least k modes in the true density.

Inferred mode persistence diagrams. Of particular importance are those scales where the lower bounds on the number of inferred modes change and the intervals of scales in which these bounds are constant. More general, the latter give a notion of *inferred persistence of shape features* over smoothing scales. In fact, these expand the general scheme of topological persistence introduced by Edelsbrunner et al. (2002), cf. also Ghrist (2008); Carlsson (2009) among many others. This originally deterministic scheme is currently gaining high momentum in statistics and medical imaging (e.g. Chung et al. (2009); Heo et al. (2012)). For the application at hand, for comparison of shape features of densities of stress fibre areas over angles, over datasets of varying matrix rigidities, we propose *inferred persistence diagrams* simultaneously depicting for several modes *births* and *splits* of modes. In addition to statistically estimating (as done for persistent homologies by Bubenik and Kim (2007)) we also provide for confidence statements for the estimate being a lower bound. This is related to recent work by Balakrishnan et al. (2013) who provide for inference on persistence diagrams and by Schwartzman et al. (2011, 2013) for inferential mode detection of linear densities within specific classes. Complementing this, however, we are firstly 'truly' circular, secondly, we do not infer on the support of the data but on the shape of its very density and thirdly, for this density we require no assumptions.

A measure for early stem cell differentiation. Utilizing inferred persistence, we give a proof of concept to use persistence diagrams of shape parameters – namely modes – to elucidate precisely how micro-environment rigidities direct early human mesenchymal stem cell (hMSC) differentiation; hMSCs from bone marrow are considered highly promising for regenerative medicine and tissue engineering. However, for successful therapeutic applications understanding and controlling of differentiation mechanisms is of paramount importance. The seminal study of Engler et al. (2006) demonstrated that the mechanical properties (Young's modulus E) of the micro-environment alone can direct stem cell differentiation. Cellular contractile forces are produced by mini-filaments of non-muscle myosin II isoforms A and B that form composites with actin filaments. The fiber structure of these is mainly isotropic on soft substrates and exhibits anisotropy on stiffer matrices (cf. Zemel et al. (2010b); Raab et al. (2012)). Recently, it was shown that the acto-myosin cytoskeleton structure of hMSCs quantified by an order parameter S depends non-monotonically on the substrate's elasticity E and that stress fiber polarization can be explained by a classical mechanics model, cf. Zemel et al. (2010a,b) who measured S for varying aspect ratios of cells. However, the question how to access different cell morphologies by a preferably most simply composite measure remains open to date.

In Section 4 we re-analyze the fluorescence microscopy images of non-muscle myosin IIa in stem cells from Zemel et al. (2010b). Here, hMSCs have been cultured for 24 hours on substrates of varying Young's modulus E mimicking the physiological mechanical properties of different tissue *in vivo*: 1 kPa corresponding to neural cells and brain tissue, 11 kPa corresponding to muscle fibres and 34 kPa corresponding to pre-mineralized collagen densifications in bone, cf. Rehfeldt et al. (2007). From these images we extract filament-process valued data¹ using the filament sensor

¹which are provided for along with the WiZer R-package including inferred persistences on the website of the second author, <http://rayerk.weebly.com/files.html>

of Eltzner et al. (2014), in particular total fibre length over angular directions, which live on the circle. We do not only reproduce the observed non-monotonicity over matrix rigidity, now for mode persistencies, but also, more subtly, the respective mode-persistence diagrams indeed reflect different matrix rigidities elucidating the impact of micro-environment rigidities on early stem cell differentiation.

Plan of the paper. In the following Section 2 we begin by establishing circular scale space axiomatics, while the details on circular sign changes have been deferred to Appendix A. All of the proofs of the theorems subsequently developed in this and in Section 3 have been deferred to Appendix B. For the application to human stem cell differentiation in Section 4, in Appendix C we give a numerical foundation for the choice of the number of wrappings required to compute the p -values underlying the tests performed by the WiZer with a desired accuracy. For the bandwidths considered in our application, an error less than 10^{-4} can be achieved with four wrappings.

2 Circular Scale Space Theory

2.1 Notation

Let $\mathbb{S} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = 1\}$ be the unit circle in the complex plane $\mathbb{C}$ equipped with the circular Haar measure $d\mu(z) = \frac{dt}{2\pi}$ for $z = e^{it}$, with a branch $t \in [\alpha, \alpha + 2\pi)$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$. Then,

$$\hat{f}_k := \int_{\mathbb{S}} f(z) z^{-k} d\mu(z)$$

is the k -th Fourier coefficient, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, of a function $f \in L^1(\mathbb{S})$. Moreover, for $f, g \in L^1(\mathbb{S})$ we have the convolution

$$(g * f)(w) = \int_{\mathbb{S}} g(wz^{-1}) f(z) d\mu(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} g(e^{i(s-t)}) f(e^{it}) dt, w = e^{is} \in \mathbb{S},$$

whenever it exists.

We say that a function $g \in L^1(\mathbb{S})$ is a *circular kernel* if $\int_{\mathbb{S}} g(z) d\mu(z) = 1$ and if it conveys a bounded operator $g : L^1(\mathbb{S}) \rightarrow L^1(\mathbb{S}), f \mapsto g * f$. A family of circular kernels $\{L_h : h > 0\}$ generates from every $f \in L^1(\mathbb{S})$ a *scale space tube*

$$\{L_h * f(z) : z \in \mathbb{S}, h > 0\}.$$

For notational simplicity we write $\hat{L}_{h,k}$ for the k -th Fourier coefficients of L_h , $k \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Finally, define the *counter-clockwise derivative* of a differentiable function $f : \mathbb{S} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ via

$$(Df)(z) = \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} (t \mapsto f(e^{it}z))$$

and the m -th counter-clockwise derivative of an m -times differentiable function $f : \mathbb{S} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ iteratively as $D^m f = D(D^{m-1} f)$.

2.2 Regular Scale Space Tube Axiomatics

In the following, $S_c(f)$ denotes the number of *cyclic sign changes* of a function $f : \mathbb{S} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as introduced by Mairhuber et al. (1959) and detailed in the appendix.

Definition 2.1. A family of circular kernels $\{L_h : h > 0\}$ is

(SG) a semi group if $L_h * L_{h'} = L_{h+h'}$ for all $h, h' > 0$,

(VD) variation diminishing if

$$S_c(L_h * f) \leq S_c(f)$$

for every $f \in L^1(\mathbb{S})$ and $h > 0$,

(SM) symmetric if $L_h(z) = L_h(-z)$ for all $z \in \mathbb{S}$ and $h > 0$,

(SL) strongly Lipschitz if for some $r > 0$ its Fourier coefficients satisfy the following uniform growth property

$$\forall \epsilon > 0 \exists h_0 = h_0(\epsilon) > 0 \text{ such that } |\hat{L}_{h,k} - 1| < \epsilon h |k|^r$$

for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and all $0 < h \leq h_0$.

A scale space tube generated by a strongly Lipschitz, symmetric and variation diminishing semi-group will be called a regular scale space tube.

Straightforward computation gives for the wrapped Gaussian kernel that $\hat{K}_{h,k} = e^{-k^2 h/2}$ and hence the following.

Remark 2.2. The family of Gaussian kernels is a symmetric semi-group that is strongly Lipschitz with $r = 2$.

Of special interest are those families $\{L_h : h > 0\}$ of kernels that are differentiable in the sense that

$$\partial_h(L_h * f) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{L_{h+t} * f - L_h * f}{t}$$

exists for all $h > 0$ and $f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{S})$. The following is a straightforward consequence of the definition.

Remark 2.3. A semi-group of circular, strongly Lipschitz kernels is differentiable.

At this point let us relate the heat equation

$$\frac{1}{2} \Delta u = \partial_h u \tag{3}$$

on a Riemannian manifold M with Laplace-Beltrami operator Δ for functions $u : M \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with some initial condition $u(\cdot, 0) = f$ (e.g. Sakai (1996, Section IV.3)) to scale space axiomatics. Using the Fourier transform, the heat equation can be solved in the spectral domain such that the unique solution of (3) is given by the convolution of the initial condition with the usual Gaussian $x \mapsto \phi(x/\sqrt{h})/\sqrt{h}$ (in case of $M = \mathbb{R}$) and the wrapped Gaussian $z \mapsto K_h(z)$ (in case of $M = \mathbb{S}$), if the convolution exists; where in the circular case $\Delta = D^2$. Requiring that equality (3) holds only for the signs of both sides is another axiom frequently found in the scale space literature (e.g. Lindeberg (2011)). This translates at once to the circular setting.

Definition 2.4. A family of differentiable circular kernels $\{L_h : h > 0\}$ is

(NE) not enhancing local extrema if for every smooth $f : \mathbb{S} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $h > 0$

$$\text{sign}(D^2(L_h * f)) \text{sign}(\partial_h(L_h * f)) \geq 0$$

at every non-degenerate critical point of $L_h * f$ on $\mathbb{S}$.

Finally, we formalize (1). From this we obtain one more axiom.

Definition 2.5. Let $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$. A differentiable function $f \in L^1(\mathbb{S})$ is k -modal (i.e. it has k modes or less) if

$$S_c(Df) \leq 2k.$$

A circular kernel L_h , $h > 0$, is

(MR) mode reducing (i.e. reducing the number of modes) if

$$S_c(L_h * Df) \leq S_c(Df)$$

for all differentiable functions $t \mapsto f(e^{it}), [0, 2\pi) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with $\lim_{t \downarrow 0} (f(e^{it}) - f(1))/t = \lim_{t \uparrow 0} (f(e^{i(2\pi+t)}) - f(1))/t$ and $Df \in L^1(\mathbb{S})$. Note that $D(L_h * f) = L_h * Df$ if also $f \in L^1(\mathbb{S})$.

Theorem 2.6. *Variation diminishing is equivalent to mode reducing which for a differentiable semi-group implies not enhancement of local extrema.*

Here is the complete picture.

$$\left. \begin{array}{ll} (MR) & \Leftrightarrow (VD) \\ (SL), (SG) & \Rightarrow \text{differentiable } (SG) \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow (NE).$$

On the line, an analog definition of mode reducing goes as follows. For functions $f, g \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$, denote by $(f *_\mathbb{R} g)(y) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x)g(y-x) dx$ the usual convolution on the line, if existent and by $S^-(f)$ the number of sign changes of f (cf. Karlin (1968); Brown et al. (1981) and Appendix A.). Then call a kernel K on the line *mode reducing* if for any differentiable function $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ we have $S^-((K *_\mathbb{R} f)') \leq S^-(f')$.

Remark 2.7. *Inspection of the proofs of Lemmata 5.4 and 5.5 in the Appendix shows that on the line $\mathbb{R}$, with the analog axioms, Theorem 2.6 also holds true.*

2.3 The Uniqueness of the Wrapped Gaussian Kernel

The analogs of the following two characterizations of the wrapped Gaussian kernel as generating regular scale space surfaces and being up to scaling the only variation diminishing, symmetric and strongly Lipschitz semi-group are well known on the line and, under suitable adaptations on Euclidean spaces. For an overview over various scale space axiomatics for Euclidean spaces, see Weickert et al. (1999). Suitably adapted arguments, sometimes even simpler lead to the following two circular versions, which, to the knowledge of the authors, have not been established before.

Theorem 2.8. *The family of wrapped Gaussian kernels $\{K_h : h > 0\}$ generates a regular scale space tube.*

Theorem 2.9. *Let $\{L_h : h > 0\}$ be a semi-group generating a regular scale space tube then there is a constant $\alpha > 0$ such that for all $h > 0$ the function $L_{\alpha h}$ is the wrapped Gaussian kernel K_h with bandwidth h .*

Remark 2.10. *Often in scale space literature, the axiom of strong continuity*

$$(SC) \quad \|(L_h - I)f\|_{L^2} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } h \downarrow 0 \text{ for all } f \in L^2(\mathbb{S}),$$

is introduced which is weaker than the strong Lipschitz property (SL). Here, I denotes the identity. It seems that this property is not sufficient to ensure the critical fact (detailed in the appendix) that the smooth functions are in the domain of definition of the infinitesimal operator

$$\mathcal{A} = \lim_{h \downarrow 0} \frac{L_h - I}{h},$$

which is required if $\mathcal{A}$ is to turn out to be a multiple of the Laplacian. For the Euclidean case, a different property has been suggested in Lindeberg (2011, p. 41) which takes the place of the strong Lipschitz property (SL) above for the circular case.

3 Inference on Shape Parameters

In the previous Section 2 we showed that the wrapped Gaussian generates a regular scale space tube and that it is only the wrapped Gaussian that has this property. For this reason, in the following, we only consider the wrapped Gaussian kernel K_h defined in (2) although empirical scale space tubes (Definition 3.2) can of course be defined for arbitrary families, Remark 3.5 holds for any semi-group and the proofs of Theorem 3.6 and Corollary 3.7 require only that second moments be finite. In the literature, the properties variation diminishing, non-enhancement of modes, etc. are often referred to as preserving *causality*. Thus, the wrapped Gaussians are the one and only (under reasonable assumptions) kernels preserving circular causality.

3.1 Circular Causality

Assumption 3.1. From now on assume that X is a random variable (with or without a density with respect to the Haar measure μ) taking values on the circle $\mathbb{S}$ and we observe $X_1, \dots, X_n \stackrel{i.i.d.}{\sim} X$.

As usual, for real valued random variables U, V we denote expectation, variance and covariance by EU , $\text{var } U$ and $\text{cov}(U, V)$, respectively, if existent.

Definition 3.2. We call the two-parameter stochastic process indexed in $\mathbb{S} \times \mathbb{R}^+$

$$\left\{ f_h^{(n)}(z) := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n K_h(zX_j^{-1}) : z \in \mathbb{S}, h > 0 \right\}$$

the empirical circular scale space tube and

$$\left\{ f_h(z) := Ef_h^{(n)}(z) : z \in \mathbb{S}, h > 0 \right\}$$

the population circular scale space tube.

Remark 3.3. Note that if X has density f with respect to the Haar measure μ on $\mathbb{S}$ then under Assumption 3.1

$$E(f_h^{(n)}(z)) = E(K_h(zX^{-1})) = \int_{\mathbb{S}} K_h(zw^{-1})f(w)\mu(dw) = (K_h * f)(z).$$

Now we can state the Causality Theorem.

Theorem 3.4 (The Circular Causality Theorem). *Let K_h be the wrapped Gaussian. Under Assumption 3.1, for any $m = 0, 1, \dots$ the following holds:*

- (i) *The mappings $h \mapsto S_c(D^m f_h)$ and $h \mapsto S_c(D^m f_h^{(n)})$ are decreasing and right continuous functions on $(0, \infty)$.*
- (ii) *If X has a density f with respect to μ which is m -times differentiable and we set $f_0 = f$ then $h \mapsto S_c(D^m f_h)$ is decreasing and right continuous on $[0, \infty)$.*

3.2 Weak Convergence of the Scale Space Tube

Remark 3.5. All information of the empirical and the population scale space tube for any bandwidth is already contained in that of every smaller bandwidth. More precisely, for $h_0 > 0$, $\{f_h^{(n)}(z) : z \in \mathbb{S}, h \geq h_0\}$ and $\{f_h(z) : z \in \mathbb{S}, h \geq h_0\}$ can be reconstructed from $\{f_{h_0}^{(n)}(z) : z \in \mathbb{S}\}$ or $\{f_{h_0}(z) : z \in \mathbb{S}\}$, respectively.

The reason for this is of course the identity $f_{h_0+h} = K_h * f_{h_0}$ for any $h > 0$ (and similarly for the empirical counterpart), which also holds for $h = 0$ if we set $K_0 * f := f$.

Moreover, $(z, h) \mapsto K_h(z)$ is a solution to the heat equation (3) and hence $f_h^{(n)}(z)$ and $f_h(z)$ are solutions as well. By the well known maximum principle for solutions of the heat equation, maxima are attained at the boundary, i.e. we have

$$\sup_{z \in \mathbb{S}, h \geq h_0} |f_h^{(n)}(z) - f_h(z)| = \sup_{z \in \mathbb{S}} |f_{h_0}^{(n)}(z) - f_{h_0}(z)| \text{ for all } h_0 > 0. \quad (4)$$

Theorem 3.6. Under Assumption 3.1 let $m \geq 0$ be an integer and define

$$\mathbf{c}(z_1, z_2; h) = \text{cov}(D^m K_h(z_1 X^{-1}), D^m K_h(z_2 X^{-1})) \quad (5)$$

for $z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{S}$ and $h > 0$. Then

$$n^{1/2} \left(D^m f_h^{(n)}(z) - D^m f_h(z) \right) \rightarrow G_h, \quad (6)$$

weakly with respect to the sup-norm on $\mathbb{S}$, where G_h is a Gaussian process on $\mathbb{S}$ with mean zero and covariance structure defined by $\mathfrak{c}(z_1, z_2; h)$.

Moreover, G_{h_0} has continuous sample paths with probability one. In particular $P(\sup_{z \in \mathbb{S}} |G_{h_0}(z)| < \infty) = 1$.

This gives at once the following.

Corollary 3.7. *Under Assumption 3.1 with $h_0 > 0$,*

$$\sup_{z \in \mathbb{S}, h \geq h_0} n^{1/2} |D^m f_h^{(n)}(z) - D^m f_h(z)|$$

converges weakly to $\sup_{z \in \mathbb{S}} |G_{h_0}(z)|$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, where G_{h_0} is a Gaussian process with zero mean and covariance structure $\mathfrak{c}(z_1, z_2, h_0)$ given by (5).

In the following denote by $q_{(1-\alpha)}$ the $(1 - \alpha)$ quantile of the random variable $\sup_{z \in \mathbb{S}} |G_h(z)|$, for $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$.

The convergence results can be used to test whether the derivative $D^m f_h(z)$ at some point $z \in \mathbb{S}$ and for some bandwidth $h \geq h_0 > 0$ is different from zero. We perform the tests for the hypotheses

$$H_0^{(h,z)} : D^m f_h(z) = 0, \quad z \in \mathbb{S}, h \geq h_0$$

as follows:

$$\text{If } \begin{cases} D^m f_h^{(n)}(z) > n^{-1/2} q_{(1-\alpha)}, & \text{reject } H_0^{(h,z)} \text{ and conclude } D^m f_h^{(n)}(z) > 0, \\ |D^m f_h^{(n)}(z)| \leq n^{-1/2} q_{(1-\alpha)}, & \text{accept } H_0^{(h,z)}, \\ D^m f_h^{(n)}(z) < -n^{-1/2} q_{(1-\alpha)}, & \text{reject } H_0^{(h,z)} \text{ and conclude } D^m f_h^{(n)}(z) < 0. \end{cases} \quad (7)$$

The following Theorem states two key properties of this test:

Firstly, by using the quantile $q_{(1-\alpha)}$ of the supremum $\sup_{z \in \mathbb{S}} |G_{h_0}|$ we control the *family-wise error rate* (FWER) of the tests. More precisely, we can assert that the asymptotic probability that one or more of the hypotheses $\{H_0^{(h,z)} : z \in \mathbb{S}, h \geq h_0\}$ is falsely rejected is at most α .

Secondly, we see that for a given bandwidth h all sign changes of $D^m f_h$ are detected by this test asymptotically with probability one. Note that this does not require the test to detect all points of positive/negative derivative. Indeed, without prior information on the smallest scales this is not possible for any test since the absolute value of the derivative can be arbitrarily small.

Theorem 3.8. *If under Assumption 3.1 with $h_0 > 0$, $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$ the test (7) is performed for each of the hypotheses $\{H_0^{(h,z)} : z \in \mathbb{S}, h \geq h_0\}$ then the probability that one or more of them are falsely rejected is asymptotically less than α .*

Moreover, if for any fixed bandwidth $h \geq h_0$ the function $D^m f_h(x)$ has $2k \geq 1$ sign changes then this test will detect them with asymptotic probability one.

3.3 Inferred Persistence

We will now use the family of tests introduced in (7) for inference on the number of zero crossings of the m -th derivative of f_h . Indeed, let

$$W_h(z) = \begin{cases} +1 & \text{if } D^m f_h^{(n)}(z) > n^{-1/2} q_{(1-\alpha)}, \\ 0 & \text{if } |D^m f_h^{(n)}(z)| \leq n^{-1/2} q_{(1-\alpha)}, \\ -1 & \text{if } D^m f_h^{(n)}(z) < -n^{-1/2} q_{(1-\alpha)}. \end{cases} \quad (8)$$

We call W_h the *WiZer signature function* of $D^m f_h^{(n)}$ and further define

$$w_h = S_c(W_h) \quad (9)$$

as the *WiZer signature* of $D^m f_h^{(n)}$. Note, that W_h and w_h are random quantities depending on h as well as the sample size n and the level α .

The following is an immediate consequence of Theorem 3.8.

Corollary 3.9. *If the WiZer signature w_h is defined as in (9) and $h_0 > 0$ then*

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(\text{There exists } h \geq h_0 \text{ s.t. } w_h > S_c(D^m f_h)) \leq \alpha.$$

Further,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(w_h \geq S_c(D^m f_h)) = 1.$$

Definition 3.10. *For fixed $h_0 > 0$ and $k = 1, 2, \dots$ define the inferred k -th persistence bandwidth*

$$h^{(k)} = \inf_{h \geq h_0} \{h : w_h < 2k\}.$$

Remark 3.11. *Note that by definition the sequence $h^{(1)}, h^{(2)}, h^{(3)}, \dots$ is decreasing. For $k \geq 1$, $h^{(k)}$ can be considered as the inferred birth bandwidth of the k -th mode of $D^{m-1}f_h$ and for $k \geq 2$ it is also the inferred split bandwidth where $k-1$ modes of $D^{m-1}f_h$ split into k modes. As birth and splits (or births) occur with decreasing bandwidths, our scenario is twofold opposite to that of usual persistence diagrams (e.g. Edelsbrunner et al. (2002); Cohen-Steiner et al. (2007); Chung et al. (2009)) where births and mergers (or deaths) occur with increasing bandwidth.*

The application in the following Section 4 illustrates the case $m = 1$ of inferred persistence of modes of f_h .

4 Application to Early Stem Cell Differentiation

Datasets - Acto-myosin cytoskeleton. We re-analyze a data set from Zemel et al. (2010b), fluorescence micrographs of NMM IIa in hMSCs, with the proposed methodology to eventually obtain inferred mode-persistence diagrams. In brief, hMSCs were cultured for 24 hrs. on elastic substrates of different Young's moduli E (1, 11, and 34 kPa), subsequently chemically fixed and immuno-stained for NMM IIa, the motor proteins making up small filaments that are actually responsible for cytoskeletal tension. Fluorescence images were recorded with an inverted microscope (IX 71, Olympus) equipped with a 20 x phase contrast objective using a 1.6 x post magnification lens for 30 cells on each of the three conditions:

1. $E = 1kPa$ which form the data set *Black*,
2. $E = 11kPa$ which form the data set *Red* and
3. $E = 34kPa$ which form the data set *Blue*.

From these micrographs, the filament structure is reconstructed using the filament sensor of Eltzner et al. (2014). Figure 2 shows typical cell images with their traced skeleton from each of the three datasets.




Figure 2: *Fluorescence microscopy images of human mesenchymal stem cells, showing immuno-labeled myosin structures, placed for 24 hrs. on matrices of varying young moduli $E_m = 1$ kPa (top row), $E_m = 11$ kPa (middle row) and $E_m = 34$ kPa (bottom row). Left column: raw fluorescence images, right column: superimposed traced filament structure.*




Figure 3: *Processed traced filament structures from Figure 2 (rows correspond). Left column: histograms of total filament length $\times$ width (vertical) per angle orientation (horizontal) with smoothed densities via wrapped Gaussian kernels of bandwidths 3 (solid red), 6 (dashed blue) and 20 (dotted black). Right column: the respective WiZer signature functions to the significance level $\alpha = 0.05$ (horizontal: angle orientation, vertical: bandwidth). Here, blue depicts significant increase ($W_h(z) = 1$), red significant decrease ($W_h(z) = -1$), grey regions where neither increase nor decrease is significant ($W_h(z) = 0$) and white areas with too few data (effective sample size $\sum_{i=1}^n K_h(x - x_i)/K_h(0) \leq 5$, Chaudhuri and Marron (1999, p. 812)). The three bandwidths from the left column are horizontal lines in the right.*

The statistical model. Each traced image gives a realization $(z_j, w_j)_{j=1}^J$ of a bounded filament process where $J \in \mathbb{N}$ denotes the number of filaments, $z_j \in \mathbb{C}$ the j -th filament's center in complex notation, $w_j = \lambda_j e^{i\phi_j}$ encodes its length $\lambda_j > 0$ and orientation angle $\phi_j \in [-\pi/2, \pi/2)$ with the positive real axis ($j = 1, \dots, J$). For a given even binning $N \in \mathbb{N}$ we have the empirical histogram

$$\left(\sum_{j: \phi_j \in \left[\frac{2\pi k}{N}, \frac{2\pi(k+1)}{N} \right)} \lambda_j \right) \bigg/ \sum_{j=1}^J \lambda_j \Big)^{N/2-1}_{k=-N/2}. \quad (10)$$

We assume that there is a true underlying filament process such that

$$\mathbb{P}\{z \in A, \lambda \in B, \phi \in C\} = \int_{A \times B \times C} g(z, \lambda, \phi) dz d\lambda d\phi$$

for Borel sets $A \subset \mathbb{C}$, $B \subset (0, \infty)$ and $C \subset [-\pi/2, \pi/2)$ with a density g w.r.t. the corresponding Lebesgue measures. Then, the histogram (10) is an estimator for the true marginal density

$$f(\phi) = \int_{\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{R}^+} g(z, \lambda, \phi) dz d\lambda. \quad (11)$$




Figure 4: *Detail of the first row of Figure 3. Careful investigation shows that only one of the two first modes of the kernel smoothed empirical density with bandwidth 3 (red, solid) is statistically significant. Similarly, the first mode of the kernel smoothed empirical density with bandwidth 6 (blue, dashed) is statistically insignificant.*

Empirical histograms and WiZer functions. From the empirical histograms with total number n of observed pixels (filament crossings result in pixels occurring in more than one filament; such pixels are accordingly multiply counted), as well as from the true density f we obtain densities $f_h^{(n)}$ and f_h , respectively, smoothed with the wrapped Gaussian kernel (2) of bandwidth $h > 0$. For the former, the WiZer signature functions W_h are computed as in (8). For the hMSCs from Figure 2, Figure 3 depicts empirical histograms, corresponding $f_h^{(n)}$ for some values of h and the WiZer signature functions.

Note that not all of the modes of the smoothed empirical densities may be statistically significant at the specified level, cf. Figure 4.

Mode no.	1	2	3	4	5	6	...	16	...
Cells on 1 kPa (black)	58.420	26.245	8.425	4.960	4.960	4.465		0.573	
Cells on 11 kPa (red)	99.010	5.455	3.970	2.485	2.485	2.485		1.495	
Cells on 34 kPa (blue)	95.050	15.355	4.960	3.970	3.970	3.475		1.990	

Table 1: *Inferred bandwidths $h^{(k)}$ for $k = 1, \dots, 6$ and $k = 16$ in degrees of births of modes with confidence $1 - \alpha = 0.95$ for the three cells from Figure 2. The first four are depicted in log-scale in Figure 5.*




Figure 5: *Inferred mode-persistence diagram for the first four modes (cf. Table 1) of the three cells of Figure 2 in log-scale. Colors indicate cell number (cell 1: black, cell 2: red, cell 3: blue) and coordinates of numbers indicate (birth, split) for even number of modes (1 and 3) and (split, birth) for odd number of modes (2 and 4). Odd order modes are more persistent if they have a higher vertical component, even order are so if their horizontal component is higher.*

Inferred mode-persistence diagrams. In the next step, the inferred k -th persistence bandwidths $h^{(k)}$ for modes of $f_h^{(n)}$ have been computed from the WiZer signature functions. Recall that $h^{(k)}$ gives the inferred bandwidth of birth of the k -th mode which is for $k > 1$ the split bandwidth of the $(k - 1)$ -st mode. For the three hMSCs of the previous Figures 3 and 4, Table 1 depicts the first few inferred mode birth bandwidths in degrees.

Finally, we depict inferred mode persistence bandwidths graphically. Typically in logarithmic scale, inferred persistences of several modes can be included in a single diagram such that the verticals measure inferred persistence of odd order modes while the horizontals measure inferred persistence of even order modes, cf. Figure 5. With confidence level $1 - \alpha = 0.95$ the black cell has least persistent first modes and most persistent second and higher modes. This is reversed for the

red cell: most persistent first mode and least persistent second and third mode. The blue cell's mode persistences are intermediate. For all cells, the fourth mode comes to lie on the first diagonal which accounts for the fact that fourth modes have not been detected for any cell at the given resolution level (200 steps between the bandwidth reported in the WiZer signature function), cf. Figure 1.




Figure 6: *Boxplots of inferred mode-persistences in log-scale radians for the the first nine modes for a sample of 179 cells in total exposed for 24 hours to matrix rigidities of 1kPa (black, 60 cells), 11kPa (red, 58 cells) and 34kPa (blue, 61 cells).*

Data analysis. We have now applied the above analysis to the data set of a total of 179 cells: 60, 58 and 61 on each elasticity (1 kPa, black, 11 kPa, red, 34 kPa, blue). Each of the three datasets comes in two files reflecting two experimental batches. For each of these six files, common parameters (reflecting average intensity, blur and noise of the specific experimental setup) for the filament sensor, cf. (Eltzner et al., 2014) have been determined by an expert. Figure 6 depicts the boxplots for the first 9 inferred mode persistences and Figure 7 the persistence diagram for the means of the first seven, all in logarithms of radians. Recalling that in the persistence diagram, odd order modes are more persistent if they have a higher vertical component, even order are so if their horizontal component is higher, the trend observed in Figure 5 is consolidated. In mean and median,




Figure 7: *Inferred mode-persistence diagram in log-scale radians for the means of the first seven modes of the data set from Figure 6 with same coloring scheme.*

1. cells on 1 kPa (black) have least persistent first modes and most persistent next higher modes,
2. cells on 11 kPa (red) have most persistent first modes and least persistent next higher modes
3. cells on 34 kPa (blue) range close to red cells with a clear tendency (except for the first mode) towards black cells.

5 Discussion

In this research we have proposed a non-parametric methodology that can be applied to circular data to detect differences in shape features of underlying unknown circular densities. This method builds on a wrapped SiZer (WiZer) because we found that the wrapped Gaussian kernel is the one and only kernel (under reasonable assumptions) that preserves circular causality. In a natural way, with the W/SiZer's methodology comes a notion of shape feature persistences. We have used this to propose a measure assessing early differentiation of human mesenchymal stem cells (hMSCs). Our results warrant larger studies involving larger sample sizes. In such studies, we

expect that inferred mode persistence diagrams (IMPDs) and combinations of IMPDs with other cell parameters will give a useful tool to measure early differentiation of hMSCs also over time.

The key benefit of the W/SiZer methodology, providing for IMPDs, is also a key limitation: statistical inference is possible only above a specific minimal bandwidth h_0 , which is of course free to choose, although it may affect the asymptotic approximation, cf. Theorem 3.6 and Corollary 3.7. This is due to the fact that the corresponding asymptotic distributions are not tight as $h_0 \rightarrow 0$ and a suitable calibration as $h_0 \rightarrow 0$ and $n \rightarrow \infty$ would have to be found, that allows to compensate for non-tightness, cf. (Chaudhuri and Marron, 2000, p. 420). For the line, this problem has been recently solved by Schmidt-Hieber et al. (2013), now allowing for inference on shape parameters simultaneously over all scales (see also the discussion in Panaretos et al. (2013) for a similar reasoning in the context of flow estimation). We expect that these arguments can be extended to our setup.

Acknowledgment

All authors gratefully acknowledge support by DFG SFB 755 “Nanonscale Photonic Imaging”. Furthermore, M.S. is grateful for support by the “Studienstiftung des Deutschen Volkes”. A.M. also gratefully acknowledges support by DFG FOR 916. F.R. gratefully acknowledges funding through a Feodor-Lynen fellowship from the Alexander-von-Humboldt foundation. S.H. and A.M. are further indebted to the Volkswagen Stiftung. Finally, M.S. and S.H. are thankful for support from the SAMSI 2013–2014 program on Low-dimensional Structure in High-dimensional Systems.

Appendix A: Sign Changes

Let S^- denote the usual sign change functional as defined in e.g. Brown et al. (1981, Def. 2.1), Karlin (1968), that is, for real numbers $x_1, \dots, x_n$, the expression

$$S^-(x_1, \dots, x_n)$$

simply counts the number of changes from positive to negative values in the sequence $x_1, \dots, x_n$, or vice versa, ignoring zeros. We now follow Mairhuber et al. (1959).

Definition 5.1. *The number of cyclic sign changes for a vector $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ is defined as follows: If $\mathbf{x} = 0$ set $S_c(\mathbf{x}) = 0$. If there is a non-zero entry, say $x_j \neq 0$, then set*

$$S_c(\mathbf{x}) = S^-(x_j, x_{j+1}, \dots, x_n, x_1, \dots, x_{j-1}, x_j).$$

Remark 5.2. *Obviously, this definition does not depend on the choice of the non-zero entry x_j . Moreover, as can be easily seen by induction, the number of cyclic sign changes is always even.*

We say that a vector $\mathbf{z} = (z_1, \dots, z_n) \in \mathbb{S}^n$ with distinct entries is in *cyclic order* if there exist real numbers

$$t_1 < t_2 < \dots < t_n < t_1 + 2\pi$$

such that $z_l = e^{it_l}$ for $l = 1, \dots, n$.

Definition 5.3. *For a function $f : \mathbb{S} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and points $\mathbf{z} = (z_1, \dots, z_n) \in \mathbb{S}^n$ in cyclic order we set*

$$f(\mathbf{z}) = (f(z_1), \dots, f(z_n))$$

Then we define the number of cyclic sign changes of f as

$$S_c(f) = \sup_{\substack{n \in \mathbb{N}, \mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{S}^n \\ \text{in cyclic order}}} S_c(f(\mathbf{z})).$$

Appendix B: Proofs

Proof of Theorem 2.6.

Lemma 5.4. $(VD) \Leftrightarrow (MR)$.

Proof. The implication “ $\Rightarrow$ ” is obvious. For “ $\Leftarrow$ ” we show the assertion first for a continuous $f \in L^1(\mathbb{S})$. For this, set $F(e^{it}) = \int_0^t f(e^{is}) ds$. Then $\lim_{t \downarrow 0} (F(e^{it}) - F(1))/t = f(1) = \lim_{t \uparrow 0} (F(e^{i(2\pi+t)}) - F(1))/t$ and $DF = f$, which gives as desired,

$$S_c(L * f) = S_c(L * DF) \leq S_c(DF) = S_c(f).$$

Next, assume that $f \in L^1(\mathbb{S})$ is arbitrary with $S_c(f) = k < \infty$ (the assertion is trivial for $k = \infty$). Due to continuity of L , there is a sequence of continuous functions f_n such that $f_n \rightarrow f$ and $L * f_n \rightarrow L * f$ in $L^1(\mathbb{S})$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. In fact, because $\mathbb{S}$ decomposes into k disjoint intervals (some of which may be points only) in which either $\text{sign} f \geq 0$ or ≤ 0 , we may choose the functions f_n such that $S_c(f_n) \rightarrow S_c(f)$, i.e. $S_c(f_n) = k$ for all $n \geq n_0$ for some $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$. Now let $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and assume that $S_c(L * f) \geq m$. As $\mathbb{S}$ is compact, $L * f$ is uniformly continuous. In consequence, we have that $S_c(L * f_n) \geq m$ for n sufficiently large. Hence, as all f_n are continuous, $m \leq k$. In particular, this shows that $S_c(L * f) \leq k$, concluding the proof. $\square$

To complete the proof of Theorem 2.6 we show the following.

Lemma 5.5. *Suppose that $\{L_h : h > 0\}$ is a differentiable semi-group of circular variation diminishing kernels. Then it is not enhancing local extrema.*

Proof. Assume that f is some smooth function and z_0 is an isolated maximum of the function $z \mapsto L_{h_0} * f(z)$ for some $h_0 > 0$. Let $C = L_{h_0} * f(z_0)$ and $\mathbf{z} = (z_1, \dots, z_k)$ be such that

$$S_c(L_{h_0} * f(\mathbf{z}) - C) = S_c(L_{h_0} * f - C).$$

We find $w_1, w_2 \in \mathbb{S}$ such that

$$\tilde{\mathbf{z}} = (z_1, \dots, w_1, z_0, w_2, \dots, z_k)$$

is in cyclic order and $L_{h_0} * f(w_j) - C < 0$ for $j = 1, 2$.

Assume now that $\partial_h L(z_0, h_0) > 0$ were true then we would find $h' > 0$ small enough such that

$$L_{h_0+h'} * f(z_0) - C > 0$$

and also, because $h \mapsto L_h * f(z)$ is continuous for fixed z ,

$$\text{sign}(L_{h_0+h'} * f(z) - C) = \text{sign}(L_{h_0} * f(z) - C), \quad \text{for } z = w_1, w_2, z_1, \dots, z_n.$$

This would imply

$$\begin{aligned} S_c(L_{h_0+h'} * f - C) &\geq S_c(L_{h_0+h'} * f(\tilde{\mathbf{z}}) - C) = S_c(L_{h_0} * f(\mathbf{z}) - C) + 2 \\ &= S_c(L_{h_0} * f - C) + 2, \end{aligned}$$

a contradiction, since $L_{h_0+h'} * f - C = L_{h'} * (L_{h_0} * f - C)$. The analog argument works for local minima. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 2.8. With Remark 2.2 we are left to show that the wrapped Gaussian is variation diminishing. We proceed as follows. First we note that the Gaussian kernel is in the exponential family on the line and that exponential families give rise to variation diminishing kernels on the line, cf. (Brown et al., 1981, Example 3.1). Here, we use *variation diminishing* on $\mathbb{R}$ as introduced by Karlin (1968) and Brown et al. (1981). Secondly, note that kernels made from exponential families on the line are *mean continuous* in the following sense.

A function $K : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ with $\int_{\mathbb{R}} K(t) dt = 1$ is a *mean continuous kernel* if

$$2K(t) = \lim_{h \downarrow 0} \left(K(t+h) + K(t-h) \right) \text{ for all } t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Then, the assertion of Theorem 2.8 follows from the following.

Theorem 5.6. *Let K be a mean continuous kernel that is variation diminishing on the line. Then its wrapped kernel*

$$\tilde{K}(e^{it}) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} K(t + 2\pi k)$$

is variation diminishing on the circle.

Proof. This is a consequence of the following two theorems of Mairhuber et al. (1959). To this end recall the Laguerre-Pólya Class of entire functions $\Psi : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ of form

$$\Psi(s) = e^{-\alpha s^2 + \beta_0 s} \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} (1 + \beta_k s) e^{-\beta_k s},$$

where $\alpha \geq 0$ and β_k are real such that

$$0 < \alpha + \sum_k \beta_k^2 < \infty.$$

The first theorem connects functions in the Laguerre-Pólya class to mean continuous variation diminishing kernels on the line.

Theorem 5.7. *(Mairhuber et al., 1959, Thm. B) If Ψ belongs to the Laguerre-Pólya class then there exists an $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $1/\Psi$ is holomorphic in the open strip*

$$S = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : -\varepsilon < \Re(z) < \varepsilon\}. \quad (12)$$

Further, there exists a mean continuous variation diminishing kernel $\Lambda : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\frac{1}{\Psi(z)} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-zt} \Lambda(t) dt \quad (13)$$

holds for all $z \in S$. Conversely, if $\Lambda : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a mean continuous variation diminishing kernel then there exists a function Ψ in the Laguerre-Pólya class such that equation (13) holds in an open strip of the form (12).

The second theorem completes the proof of Theorem 5.6 as it links Laguerre-Pólya functions to circular variation diminishing kernels via wrapping.

Theorem 5.8. *(Mairhuber et al., 1959, Thm. 3) Every element Ψ of the Laguerre-Pólya class gives rise to a mean continuous cyclic variation diminishing kernel via*

$$\Omega(e^{it}) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{1}{\Psi(ik)} e^{ikt}.$$

If $\Lambda(t)$ is a function for which (13) holds then we have the identity

$$\Omega(e^{it}) = 2\pi \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \Lambda(t + 2\pi k).$$

□

Proof of Theorem 2.9. In this proof we follow the argument of Lindeberg (2011) starting with the following Lemma which is a special case of Engel and Nagel (2000, Lemma 1.3. and Theorem 1.4). To this end, recall the property of strong continuity (SC) introduced in Remark 2.10.

Lemma 5.9. *For a strongly continuous semi-group of kernels $L_h : \mathbb{S} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $h > 0$ there is a (not necessarily bounded) closed linear operator $\mathcal{A} : L^2(\mathbb{S}) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{S})$ defined on a dense domain $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A}) \subset L^2(\mathbb{S})$, such that for $f \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A})$ we have*

$$\mathcal{A}f = \lim_{h \downarrow 0} \frac{L_h * f - f}{h},$$

as well as for all $h > 0$ that

$$\partial_h(L_h * f) = \mathcal{A}(L_h * f). \quad (14)$$

The operator $\mathcal{A}$ is called the *infinitesimal generator* of the semi-group $\{L_h : h > 0\}$.

In order to see that $C^\infty(\mathbb{S}) \subset \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A})$, denote by $\hat{f} = (k \mapsto \hat{f}_k)$ the Fourier transform of $f \in L^2(\mathbb{S})$. As the Fourier transform is an isometry between the complete spaces $L^2(\mathbb{S})$ and $\ell^2 = \{a \in \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{Z}} : \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |a_k|^2 < \infty\}$ we have for all $f \in L^2(\mathbb{S})$ that

$$\lim_{h \downarrow 0} \frac{L_h * f - f}{h} \in L^2(\mathbb{S}) \Leftrightarrow \frac{\hat{L}_{h_n} \hat{f} - \hat{f}}{h_n} \text{ is a Cauchy sequence in } \ell^2 \text{ for all } h_n \downarrow 0.$$

By the strong Lipschitz property, the latter is the case, because $\hat{L}_{h,0} = \int_{\mathbb{S}} L_h d\mu = 1$ and there is $r \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for every $\epsilon > 0$ there is $\delta > 0$ such that for all $0 < h = h_n, h' = h_m \leq \delta$

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \frac{\hat{L}_h \hat{f} - \hat{f}}{h} - \frac{\hat{L}_{h'} \hat{f} - \hat{f}}{h'} \right\|_{\ell^2}^2 &= \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |\hat{f}_k|^2 \left| \frac{\hat{L}_{h,k} - 1}{h} - \frac{\hat{L}_{h',k} - 1}{h'} \right|^2 \\ &\leq 4\epsilon \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |\hat{f}_k|^2 |k|^{2r} = 4\epsilon \|D^r f\|_{\ell^2}^2 \end{aligned}$$

whenever $f \in C^r(\mathbb{S})$. In consequence $C^\infty(\mathbb{S}) \subset \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A})$.

For $w \in \mathbb{S}$ let $\Delta_w : C^\infty(\mathbb{S}) \rightarrow C^\infty(\mathbb{S})$ be the shift operator defined by $\Delta_w f(z) = f(w^{-1}z)$. Then it is easy to see that $\mathcal{A}$ commutes with Δ_w since

$$\Delta_w \left(\frac{L_h * f - f}{h} \right) = \frac{L_h * (\Delta_w f) - \Delta_w f}{h}.$$

Moreover, the identity $R(L_h * f - f) = L_h * (Rf) - Rf$ (by symmetry of L_h) implies that $\mathcal{A}$ also commutes with the reflection operator R .

In the next step we show that $\mathcal{A}$ is a differential operator. To this end, we exploit Peetre (1959, Théorème) stating that $\mathcal{A}$ is a differential operator if and only if it has the following property (of a local operator)

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}f(z_0) &= 0 \text{ for any } z_0 \in \mathbb{S} \text{ and smooth function} \\ f : \mathbb{S} &\rightarrow \mathbb{R} \text{ vanishing in a neighborhood } U \text{ of } z_0. \end{aligned}$$

Indeed, assuming that the smooth function $f : \mathbb{S} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ vanishes in a neighborhood U of z_0 , let $g : \mathbb{S} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be smooth, having a nondegenerate maximum at z_0 with support in U . In consequence, for every $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$ the function $r = \beta f + g$ has a nondegenerate maximum at z_0 and hence $\partial_h|_{h=0}(L_h * r)(z_0) \leq 0$ by Lemma 5.5 which implies by Lemma 5.9 that $\mathcal{A}(r)(z_0) \leq 0$. By linearity

$$\beta \mathcal{A}(f)(z_0) + \mathcal{A}(g)(z_0) \leq 0$$

where the l.h.s. can be given any sign (as $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$) unless $\mathcal{A}(f)(z_0) = 0$. In consequence, we have shown

$$\mathcal{A} = \sum_{0 \leq k \in \mathbb{Z}} a_k D^k \quad (15)$$

with suitable $a_k \in \mathbb{R}$, ($k = 0, 1, \dots$) at least in each chart. Using $\mathcal{A}\Delta_w = \Delta_w\mathcal{A}$ we can conclude that (15) holds globally.

In the penultimate step we show that $a_k = 0$ in (15) for $k > 2$. Let f be a smooth function on $\mathbb{S}$ such that

$$f(\exp(it)) = t^2 + \beta t^n$$

for t in some neighborhood of zero and for some integer $n > 2$. Since f has an isolated local minimum at 1 for all β we have by Lemmata 5.5 and 5.9 that $\partial_h|_{h=0}(L_h * f)(1) \geq 0$ (arguing as above) and hence $\mathcal{A}f(1) \geq 0$. On the other hand we have $\mathcal{A}f(1) = a_n\beta$ and hence $a_n \neq 0$ would give a contradiction.

If we set $n = 0$ in the above argument we conclude that $a_0 = 0$.

Finally, the identity $R\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}R$ yields $a_1 = 0$ and therefore $\mathcal{A} = a_2 D^2$. In consequence, for $f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{S})$, (14) is a multiple of the heat equation (3) with initial condition f , which proves the theorem. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 3.4. In order to see monotonicity let $g_h(z)$ be either $D^m f_h(z)$ or $D^m f_h^{(n)}(z)$ for $h > 0$ corresponding to case (i) and for case (ii) set $g_0(z) = D^m f(z)$. Now let $h_2 > h_1 > 0$ in case (i) or $h_2 > h_1 \geq 0$ in case (ii). Then $g_{h_2} = K_{h_2-h_1} * g_{h_1}$ and hence

$$S_c(g_{h_2}) \leq S_c(g_{h_1}) \quad (16)$$

since K_h is cyclic variation diminishing for all $h > 0$ by Theorem 2.9.

To see right continuity, suppose that $S_c(g_h) = 2k$ for integer $k \geq 0$ and some $h > 0$ in case (i) or $h \geq 0$ in case (ii). If $k > 0$ there exists $\mathbf{z} = (z_1, \dots, z_{2k}) \in \mathbb{S}^{2k}$ such that $\text{sgn } g_h(z_j) = (-1)^j$. Since $h \mapsto g_h(z)$ is continuous for all $z \in \mathbb{S}$ there is an $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $\text{sgn } g_{h'}(z_j) = (-1)^j$ for all $h' \in [h, h + \varepsilon)$. Therefore

$$S_c(g_{h'}) \geq S_c(g_{h'}(\mathbf{z})) = 2k.$$

Together with the monotonicity (16) this proves $S_c(g_{h'}) = 2k$ and thus right continuity. If $k = 0$ we have at once $S_c(g_{h'}) \geq 0 = S_c(g_h)$ for all $h' \geq h$ again yielding right continuity. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 3.6. We first show convergence of the finite dimensional distributions (I), then weak convergence (II) and finally a.s. continuity of sample paths.

I: Let $z_1, \dots, z_k \in \mathbb{S}$ and $t_1, \dots, t_k \in \mathbb{R}$ and set

$$Y_l = \sum_{i=1}^k t_i (D^m K_h(z_i X_l^{-1}) - D^m f_h(z_i)), \quad l = 1, \dots, n.$$

Then $EY_l = 0$ and

$$\text{var} Y_l = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i,j=1}^k t_i t_j \mathbf{c}(z_i, z_j; h).$$

In consequence, we have that

$$Z_n := n^{1/2} \sum_{i=1}^k t_i \left(D^m f_h^{(n)}(z_i) - D^m f_h(z_i) \right) = n^{-1/2} \sum_{l=1}^n Y_l$$

is asymptotically normal with zero mean and covariance $\sum_{i,j=1}^k t_i t_j \mathbf{c}(z_i, z_j; h)$. Since $t_1, \dots, t_k \in \mathbb{R}$ are arbitrary, by the Cramér-Wold device we conclude that

$$U_n(z_1, \dots, z_k) := \left(n^{1/2} \left(D^m f_h^{(n)}(z_i) - D^m f_h(z_i) \right) \right)_{i=1}^k$$

is asymptotically normal with zero mean and covariance matrix $(\mathfrak{c}(z_i, z_j; h))_{i,j=1}^k$.

II: To establish weak convergence it now suffices to show that

$$n^{1/2} \left(D^m f_h^{(n)}(z) - D^m f_h(z) \right)_{z \in \mathbb{S}}$$

is tight. To this end we give a bound for the second moments of the increments. Observe that for $z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{S}$,

$$\begin{aligned} E(U_n(z_1) - U_n(z_2))^2 &= \text{var} \{ D^m K_h(z_1 X^{-1}) - D^m(K_h(z_2 X^{-1})) \} \\ &\leq E \{ D^m K_h(z_1 X^{-1}) - D^m(K_h(z_2 X^{-1})) \}^2 \\ &\leq \|D^{m+1} K_h\|_\infty^2 d(z_1, z_2)^2 \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

using the Mean Value Theorem for the last inequality where d denotes the intrinsic (geodesic) distance in $\mathbb{S}$. Now we argue with Theorem 8.6 (p. 138) and Theorem 8.8 (p. 139) of Ethier and Kurtz (2009). First note that (17) yields condition (8.36) of Theorem 8.8. which asserts condition (8.29) of Theorem 8.6 as well as condition (8.39). Taking the conditional expectation of the latter gives condition (8.28) of Theorem 8.6. Directly from (17) we infer the third condition (8.30) of b) of Theorem 8.6. From the fact that in particular for every fixed $z \in \mathbb{S}$, $U_n(z)$ is asymptotically normal we obtain condition (a) of Theorem 7.2 (Ethier and Kurtz, 2009, p. 128). Thus, we conclude with Theorem 8.6. that the sequence $U_n(z)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ of processes $z \in \mathbb{S}$ is relatively compact, yielding the desired convergence result.

III: In view of Theorem 3.6, Remark 3.5 and (4) we only need to show that G_h has continuous sample paths. To this end, let for $z, w \in \mathbb{S}$

$$\rho(z, w) = (E(G_h(z) - G_h(w))^2)^{1/2}$$

be the *canonical pseudo-metric* (note that $\rho(z, w) = 0$ does not necessarily imply $z = w$) for $\mathbb{S}$ associated with the process G_h and let $N(\varepsilon)$ be the smallest number of closed ρ -balls of radius $\varepsilon > 0$ that are needed to cover $\mathbb{S}$. By (Adler, 1990, p.106 Cor. 4.15) it suffices to show $\int_0^\infty (\log N(\varepsilon))^{1/2} d\varepsilon < \infty$ to establish a.s. continuity of the sample paths.

With the intrinsic distance d on $\mathbb{S}$, (17) yields at once a suitable constant $C > 0$ such that

$$\rho(z, w) \leq C d(z, w), \text{ for all } z, w \in \mathbb{S}.$$

In consequence, if $\mathbb{S}$ is covered by N intrinsic ε -balls, it is also covered by N ρ -balls of radius $C\varepsilon$. Hence for large enough ε , we have $N(\varepsilon) = 1$ and for $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ we obtain $N(\varepsilon) = \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^{-1})$. The estimates for large and for small ε together yield the desired $\int_0^\infty (\log N(\varepsilon))^{1/2} d\varepsilon < \infty$. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 3.8. Let $\mathcal{S}_0 \subset \{(h, z) : h \geq h_0, z \in \mathbb{S}\}$ for which $H_0^{(h, z)}$ holds. Then by Corollary 3.7, the probability that one or more of the null hypotheses are falsely rejected is

$$\begin{aligned} &P \left(\exists (h, z) \in \mathcal{S}_0 \text{ s.t. } \left| D^m f_h^{(n)}(z) \right| \geq n^{-1/2} q_{(1-\alpha)} \right) \\ &\leq P \left(\sup_{h \geq h_0, z \in \mathbb{S}} n^{1/2} \left| D^m f_h^{(n)}(z) - D^m f_h(z) \right| \geq q_{(1-\alpha)} \right) \\ &\rightarrow P \left(\sup_{z \in \mathbb{S}} |G_{h_0}| \geq q_{(1-\alpha)} \right) = \alpha \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

For the last assertion note that if $D^m f_h(z)$ has $2k \geq 2$ sign changes then we can find a vector $(z_1, \dots, z_{2k})$ in cyclic order such that $\text{sgn } D^m f_h(z_j) = (-1)^j$ ($j = 1, \dots, 2k$). Because of $q_{(1-\alpha)} n^{-1/2} \rightarrow 0$ and

$$\max_{1 \leq j \leq 2k} \left| D^m f_h^{(n)}(z_j) - D^m f_h(z_j) \right| \leq \sup_{z \in \mathbb{S}} \left| D^m f_h^{(n)}(z) - D^m f_h(z) \right| = \mathcal{O}_P(n^{-1/2})$$

the above test procedure will correctly conclude the sign of $D^m f_h(z_j)$ for all j asymptotically with probability one. $\square$

Appendix C: Numerical Considerations

Let $K : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ be a kernel on the real axis and $\tilde{K} : [-\pi, \pi] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ the corresponding wrapped kernel defined by $\tilde{K}(x) = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} K(x + 2\pi j)$ and for $C \in \mathbb{Z}$ the cut off kernel $\tilde{K}^{(C)}(x) = \sum_{|j| \leq C} K(x + 2\pi j)$.

For points $x, x_1, \dots, x_n \in [-\pi, \pi]$ we define

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \tilde{K}(x - x_i), \quad f^{(C)}(x) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \tilde{K}^{(C)}(x - x_i).$$

We estimate

$$\begin{aligned} & |f(x) - f^{(C)}(x)| \\ &= \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{|j| > C} K(x - x_i + 2\pi j) \right| \leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{|j| > C} |K(x - x_i + 2\pi j)| \\ &\leq \sum_{j > C} \left(\sup_{x \in [2\pi(j-1), 2\pi(j+1)]} |K(x)| + \sup_{x \in [-2\pi(j+1), -2\pi(j-1)]} |K(x)| \right) =: \delta_K(C). \end{aligned}$$

Now we want to estimate $\delta_K(C)$ for the derivative of the Gaussian kernel

$$\phi_h(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi h}} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2h}}.$$

Note that $\partial_x \phi_h$ has two extremal points at $\pm\sqrt{h}$ and is monotonically increasing on $(-\infty, -\sqrt{h})$ and monotonically decreasing on $(\sqrt{h}, \infty)$. Hence if $2\pi(C-1) \geq \sqrt{h}$ we have

$$\sup_{x \in [-2\pi(j+1), -2\pi(j-1)] \cup [2\pi(j-1), 2\pi(j+1)]} |\partial_x \phi_h(x)| \leq -\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{2\pi(j-2)}^{2\pi(j-1)} \partial_x \phi_h(y) dy$$

for all $j \geq C+1$ and therefore

$$\delta_{\partial_x \phi_h}(C) \leq -\frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{j \geq C+1} \int_{2\pi(j-2)}^{2\pi(j-1)} \partial_x \phi_h(y) dy = \frac{1}{\pi} \phi_h(2\pi(C-2)).$$

Theorem 5.10. *For any empirical measure $\nu = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \delta_{x_i}$ with points $x_1, \dots, x_n \in [-\pi, \pi]$ we have*

$$\left\| \frac{\partial(\tilde{\phi}_h * \nu)}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial(\tilde{\phi}_h^{(C)} * \nu)}{\partial x} \right\|_{\infty} \leq \frac{1}{\pi} \phi_h(2\pi(C-1))$$

provided that $2\pi(C-1) \geq \sqrt{h}$.

In consequence, for the bandwidths $0.01 \leq h \leq 2$ considered in our applications in Sections 3.3 and 4, an error less than

$$\frac{e^{-10}}{\pi\sqrt{2\pi h}} \leq 10^{-4}$$

can be achieved for $2\pi(C-1) \geq \sqrt{20h}$, e.g. $C = 2$.

References

Adler, R. J. (1990). An introduction to continuity, extrema, and related topics for general Gaussian processes. *Lecture Notes-Monograph Series 12*, i–155.

- Ahmed, M. O. and G. Walther (2012). Investigating the multimodality of multivariate data with principal curves. *Computational Statistics and Data Analysis* 56, 4462–4469.
- Alvarez, L., F. Guichard, P.-L. Lions, and J.-M. Morel (1993). Axioms and fundamental equations in image processing. *Archive for Rational Mechanics and Analysis* 123, 199–257.
- Babaud, J., A. P. Witkin, M. Baudin, and R. O. Duda (1986). Uniqueness of the Gaussian kernel for scale space filtering. *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence* 8, 26–33.
- Balakrishnan, S., B. Fasy, F. Lecci, A. Rinaldo, A. Singh, and L. Wasserman (2013). Statistical inference for persistent homology. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1303.7117*.
- Briggs, A. J., C. Detweiler, P. C. Mullen, and D. Scharstein (2004, May). Scale-space features in 1D omnidirectional images. In P. Sturm, T. Svoboda, and S. Teller (Eds.), *Proc. Fifth Workshop on Omnidirectional Vision, Camera Networks and Non-classical Cameras*, Prague, Czech Republic, pp. 115–126.
- Brown, L. D., I. M. Johnstone, and K. B. MacGibbon (1981). Variation diminishing transformations: A direct approach to total positivity and its statistical applications. *Journal of the American Statistical Association* 76(376), 824–832.
- Bubenik, P. and P. T. Kim (2007). A statistical approach to persistent homology. *Homology, Homotopy and Applications* 9(2), 337–362.
- Carlsson, G. (2009). Topology and data. *Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society* 46(2), 255–308.
- Chaudhuri, P. and J. Marron (1999). Sizer for exploration of structures in curves. *Journal of the American Statistical Association* 94(447), 807–823.
- Chaudhuri, P. and J. Marron (2000). Scale space view of curve estimation. *The Annals of Statistics* 28(2), 408–428.
- Cheng, M.-Y. and P. Hall (1999). Mode testing in difficult cases. *Annals of statistics* 27(4), 1294–1315.
- Chung, M. K., P. Bubenik, and P. T. Kim (2009). Persistence diagrams of cortical surface data. In *Information Processing in Medical Imaging*, pp. 386–397. Springer.
- Cohen-Steiner, D., H. Edelsbrunner, and J. Harer (2007). Stability of persistence diagrams. *Discrete & Computational Geometry* 37(1), 103–120.
- Davies, P. L. and A. Kovac (2004). Densities, spectral densities and modality. *Annals of Statistics*, 1093–1136.
- Dümbgen, L., V. G. Spokoiny, et al. (2001). Multiscale testing of qualitative hypotheses. *The Annals of Statistics* 29(1), 124–152.
- Dümbgen, L. and G. Walther (2008). Multiscale inference about a density. *The Annals of Statistics*, 1758–1785.
- Edelsbrunner, H., D. Letscher, and A. Zomorodian (2002). Topological persistence and simplification. *Discrete and Computational Geometry* 28(4), 511–533.
- Eltzner, B., C. Gottschlich, C. Wollnik, J. Rüger, I. Schachtschneider, F. Rehfeldt, and S. Huckemann (2014). A filament sensor for the reconstruction of fibre structures in human mesenchymal stem cell imaging. Manuscript.

- Engel, K.-J. and R. Nagel (2000). *One-parameter semigroups for linear evolution equations*, Volume 194. Springer.
- Engler, A. J., S. Sen, H. L. Sweeney, and D. E. Discher (2006). Matrix elasticity directs stem cell lineage specification. *Cell* 126(4), 677–689.
- Ethier, S. N. and T. G. Kurtz (2009). *Markov processes: characterization and convergence*, Volume 282. Wiley.
- Fisher, N. and J. Marron (2001). Mode testing via the excess mass estimate. *Biometrika* 88(2), 499–517.
- Ghrist, R. (2008). Barcodes: the persistent topology of data. *Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society* 45(1), 61–75.
- Good, I. and R. Gaskins (1980). Density estimation and bump-hunting by the penalized likelihood method exemplified by scattering and meteorite data. *Journal of the American Statistical Association* 75(369), 42–56.
- Hall, P., M. C. Minotte, and C. Zhang (2004). Bump hunting with non-Gaussian kernels. *Annals of Statistics* 32, 2124–2141.
- Hartigan, J. A. and P. Hartigan (1985). The dip test of unimodality. *The Annals of Statistics*, 70–84.
- Heo, G., J. Gamble, and P. T. Kim (2012). Topological analysis of variance and the maxillary complex. *Journal of the American Statistical Association* 107(498), 477–492.
- Karlin, S. (1968). *Total positivity*, Volume 1. Stanford University Press.
- Klemelä, J. (2006). Visualization of multivariate density estimates with shape trees. *Journal of Computational and Graphical Statistics* 15(2), 372–397.
- Lindeberg, T. (1994). *Scale-Space Theory in Computer Vision*. Boston: Kluwer.
- Lindeberg, T. (2011). Generalized Gaussian scale-space axiomatics comprising linear scale-space, affine scale-space and spatio-temporal scale-space. *Journal of Mathematical Imaging and Vision* 40(1), 36–81.
- Mairhuber, J., I. Schoenberg, and R. Williamson (1959). On variation diminishing transformations on the circle. *Rendiconti del Circolo matematico di Palermo* 8(3), 241–270.
- Mardia, K. V. and P. E. Jupp (2000). *Directional statistics. 2nd ed.* (2nd ed. ed.). Chichester: Wiley.
- Minnotte, M. C. (1997). Nonparametric testing of the existence of modes. *The Annals of Statistics*, 1646–1660.
- Minnotte, M. C. and D. W. Scott (1993). The mode tree: A tool for visualization of nonparametric density features. *Journal of Computational and Graphical Statistics* 2(1), 51–68.
- Müller, D. W. and G. Sawitzki (1991). Excess mass estimates and tests for multimodality. *Journal of the American Statistical Association* 86(415), 738–746.
- Munk, A. (1999). Optimal inference for circular variation diminishing experiments with applications to the von-Mises distribution and the Fisher-Efron parabola model. *Metrika* 50(1), 1–17.
- Oliveira, M., R. M. Crujeiras, and A. Rodríguez-Casal (2013). Circsizer: an exploratory tool for circular data. *Environmental and Ecological Statistics*, 1–17.

- Ooi, H. (2002). Density visualization and mode hunting using trees. *Journal of Computational and Graphical Statistics* 11(2).
- Panaretos, V. M., T. Pham, and Z. Yao (2013). Principal flows. *Journal of the American Statistical Association* (just-accepted).
- Peetre, J. (1959). Une caractérisation abstraite des opérateurs différentiels. *Mathematica Scandinavica* 7, 211–218.
- Polonik, W. (1995). Measuring mass concentrations and estimating density contour clusters-an excess mass approach. *The Annals of Statistics*, 855–881.
- Raab, M., J. Swift, P. C. D. P. Dingal, P. Shah, J.-W. Shin, and D. E. Discher (2012). Crawling from soft to stiff matrix polarizes the cytoskeleton and phosphoregulates myosin-ii heavy chain. *Journal of Cell Biology* 199(4), 669–683.
- Rehfeldt, F., A. J. Engler, A. Eckhardt, F. Ahmed, and D. E. Discher (2007). Cell responses to the mechanochemical microenvironment - implications for regenerative medicine and drug delivery. *Advanced Drug Delivery Reviews* 59(13), 1329–1339.
- Sakai, T. (1996). *Riemannian geometry*, Volume 149. Amer Mathematical Society.
- Schmidt-Hieber, J., A. Munk, and L. Dümbgen (2013). Multiscale methods for shape constraints in deconvolution: Confidence statements for qualitative features. *Ann. Statist.* 41(3), 1299–1328.
- Schwartzman, A., Y. Gavrilov, and R. J. Adler (2011). Multiple testing of local maxima for detection of peaks in 1d. *Ann. Statist.* 39(6), 3290–3319.
- Schwartzman, A., A. Jaffe, Y. Gavrilov, and C. A. Meyer (2013). Multiple testing of local maxima for detection of peaks in chip-seq data. *Ann. Appl. Statist.* 7(1), 471–494.
- Silverman, B. (1981). Using kernel density estimates to investigate multimodality. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society. Series B (Methodological)*, 97–99.
- Taylor, C. C. (2008). Automatic bandwidth selection for circular density estimation. *Computational Statistics & Data Analysis* 52(7), 3493–3500.
- Wada, T., Y. H. Gu, and M. Sato (1991). Scale-space filtering for periodic waveforms. *Systems and Computers in Japan* 22, 45–54.
- Weickert, J., S. Ishikawa, and A. Imiya (1999). Linear scale-space has first been proposed in Japan. *Journal of Mathematical Imaging and Vision* 10(3), 237–252.
- Zemel, A., F. Rehfeldt, A. E. X. Brown, D. E. Discher, and S. A. Safran (2010a). Cell shape, spreading symmetry, and the polarization of stress-fibers in cells. *J. Phys. Cond. Mat.* 22(19), 194110.
- Zemel, A., F. Rehfeldt, A. E. X. Brown, D. E. Discher, and S. A. Safran (2010b). Optimal matrix rigidity for stress-fibre polarization in stem cells. *Nat Phys* 6(6), 468–473.